

Entomopathogenic nematodes can replace soil insecticides in western corn rootworm control

Stefan Toepfer, CABI, Hodmezovasarhely, Hungary; s.toepfer@cabi.org + Chinese Ministry of Agriculture - CABI Joint Laboratory for Biosafety, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing; China Michael Zellner, Bavaria State Research Centre for Agriculture, Freising, Germany; michael.zellner@lfl.bayern.de

The problem

 Western corn rootworm (Diabrotica v. virgifera)



What we did

The aim was to investigate whether fluid applications of the nematode can be an



- damages maize.
- Many soil insecticides are either/or highly toxic to humans, have serious other non-target effects, or are banned from use.

Rootworm larvae feed Plant lodging due to root damage by rootworms

The solution

- Beneficial, entomopathogenic nematodes are well-adapted to the soil and non-toxic.
- To provide growers with more pest management options, and to reduce insecticide reliance, a biological control product has been developed that incorporates the entomopathogenic nematode Heterorhabditis bacteriophora (Nematoda: Rhabditida).



- alternative to older as well a recently registered synthetic pesticides in the management of rootworms
- Five field trials implemented in southern Hungary between 2013 and 2015 using grower machinery
- Treatments
 - Heterorhabditis bacteriophora (Nematop TM = Dianem TM WP)
 - Tefluthrin (ForceTM 1.5G)
 - Cypermethrin 0.8% (Belem 0.8MGTM)
 - Chlorpyrifos 5% (Kentaur 5G)
 - Untreated infested control
- 4 plots per treatment per field
- Pest level, root damage, yield assessed



Results

Reducing rootworms

Nematode treatments as well as soil insecticides were able to reduce adult emergence of rootworms Nematodes can, if applied at relatively high dosage, be as effective as commonly used synthetic soil insecticides in controlling the larvae.

Preventing root damage

Nematode treatments as well as soil insecticides were in most occasions able to

Preventing yield losses

Nematode treatments as well as soil



Figure. Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and soil insecticides at reducing *Diabrotica v. virgifera* in five maize fields in southern Hungary. Plants infested with 300 eggs. Adult emergence assessed in 4 cages with 6 plants per treatment and field; error bars = SEM; letters above bars indicate differences according to Games Howell multiple comparison following GLM at p < 0.05

significantly prevent at least some of the root damage caused by rootworm larvae



Figure. Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and soil insecticides at preventing root damage by *Diabrotica v. virgifera* larvae in five maize in southern Hungary; plants infested with 300 eggs; 1.0 to 6.0 traditional lowa scale = overall damage including minor damage; Oleson node-injury scale = heavy damage only; 6 plants assessed per plot per treatment and field; error bars = SEM; letters above bars indicate differences

insecticides slightly increased yield on average across the five trials



Figure. Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and soil insecticides at preventing yield losses due to damage by *Diabrotica v. virgifera* larvae in five maize fields. Plants infested with 300 eggs. 6 plants assessed per plot per treatment and field; cob weight dried and standardized to 13% moisture; error bars = SEM; letters above bars

Take home messages

- The recommended commercial dose of 2 billion nematodes per hectare appeared likely to be in most cases enough to keep damage below thresholds.
- To assure a higher security in treatment efficacy across locations, conditions, and different grower skill-levels, a higher dose might be applied.
- Findings support a nematode-based solution for the biological control of rootworms in maize fields in European regions as one among the alternative options to synthetic insecticides.

acknowledgements: This work was possible due to the hospitality and technical support of the Plant Protection Directorate of Csongrad County in Hodmezovasarhely in Hungary. Field space and machinery was provided by the Cereal Research Station GK. We thank Rajmond Stuber, Ferenc Kiraly, Andor Kiss for their help in field work. This study was funded by German tax payers via the Bavarian State Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Forestry StMELF through the Bavaria State Research Centre for Agriculture in Freising, Germany.

KNOWLEDGE FOR LIFE

CABI Europe – Switzerland, c/o Plant Protection Directorate, Rárósi út 110, HU – 6800 Hodmezovasarhely, Hungary, T: ++36 30 6261077; , s.toepfer@cabi.org