

5.6 Exotic or Native? Interspecific Competition in the Parasitization of the Fruit Fly *Ceratitis cosyra*

E.O. De Souza¹, P. Ayelo², J. Zannou², A.H. Bokonon-Ganta³ and M.F. Karlsson⁴

¹National Plant Protection and Quarantine Service (DPV), Porto-Novo and University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Cotonou, BENIN, esperantos1@hotmail.fr, ²UAC and International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Cotonou, BENIN, p_ayelo@yahoo.fr, ayomidjosephzannou@yahoo.fr, ³DPV and UAC, Cotonou, BENIN, aimehbg@gmail.com, ⁴IITA-BENIN and Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Alnarp, SWEDEN, m.karlsson@cgiar.org, miriam.karlsson@slu.se

Multiple natural enemies of a pest might enhance the pest control or in contrast be less efficient for the management of the nuisance. Additive reduction of the pest might occur if natural enemies co-inhabit and do not compete for space or nutrients. Parasitoids obligate dependence on the same host for its survival may possibly generate intra- or interspecific competition when the number of parasitoids per host reduces the availability of food and thus affects the survival, fecundity, growth and development of one or both parasitoids as well as the host species (Aluja *et al.*, 2013). Interspecific competition is more likely to occur between parasitoids if they develop within the same host, and if they have similar habitat requirements. Introduction and release of an exotic parasitoid that shares a habitat and host with a native parasitoid might be affected by interspecific competition, which might affect the effectiveness of the biological control agent (Miranada *et al.*, 2015). *Fopius arisanus* Sonan and *Fopius caudatus* Szèpliget (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) are two solitary endoparasitoids of tephritid fruit flies that parasitize eggs of fruit flies infesting the same fruits. We studied intraspecific competition between these two parasitoid species in the mango fruit fly *Ceratitis cosyra* (Walker) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Based on previous documentation of the equal chance of survival between *F. arisanus* and *Fopius ceratitivorius* Wharton (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) while co-parasitizing (Bokonon-Ganta *et al.*, 2005), we hypothesized that we would not find a superior competitor between the two parasitoids.

Both *F. arisanus* and *F. caudatus* are egg-pupal parasitoids of tephritid fruit flies. The former is a known Asian biological control agent, released on three continents and the latter is a parasitoid of African origin, occasionally tested as a biological control agent. Releases of *F. arisanus* have been made during the last ten years in Africa, i.e., Senegal, Benin, Cameroon, Kenya and Mozambique (Kibira *et al.*, 2015; Ndiaye *et al.*, 2015; Gnanvossou *et al.*, 2016). *Fopius arisanus* was brought to Africa after the invasion of the Asian *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) because this wasp parasitizes *B. dorsalis* both in its native environment and in Hawaii, etc.. *Fopius arisanus* also parasitizes other tephritid fruit fly species, such as *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann), *C. cosyra* and *Anastrepha* (Rousse *et al.*, 2006). *Fopius caudatus* parasitizes *C. capitata* and *C. cosyra*

that infest mango, coffee and other cultivated and wild fruits (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Vayssières *et al.*, 2011).

We designed preference tests and competition experiments in the laboratory with the two parasitoids. In the preference test, we studied their preference for developmental stages of *C. cosyra*, presenting eggs at different intervals after fruit infestation. Preference between parasitized and non-parasitized *C. cosyra* infested fruits were also conducted. Their parasitizing ability and survival after co-parasitizing the fruit fly eggs were observed. Dissections of the eggs and first instar larvae (Fig. 5.6.1) revealed the parasitism rate.



Figure 5.6.1. *Fopius caudatus* egg dissected from 1st instar *Ceratitis cosyra* larvae.

Parasitization by *F. arisanus* and *F. caudatus* resulted in 10-35% mortality of host eggs, depending on species and age of the host egg. Emergence of *F. caudatus* was higher than for *F. arisanus*, i.e., a higher rate of eggs laid by *F. caudatus* developed and emerged as adults. Multiparasitism, i.e., eggs of the two species oviposited in the same fruit fly egg, of 8% was observed. *Fopius arisanus* avoided super- and multi parasitism more than *F. caudatus* which is an disadvantage for the latter wasp, since only one parasitoid can emerge from one parasitized fruit fly. *Fopius caudatus* oviposition was not lower in hosts previously parasitized by *F. arisanus*, suggesting that *F. caudatus* can not discriminate against parasitized hosts. Behavioural observations showed that *F. arisanus* won the extrinsic competitions against *F. caudatus* as it was more active and chased away its congener from searching on the oviposition substrate. Our experiments revealed that *F. arisanus* is a stronger competitor than *F. caudatus* in some cases, while survival in *C. cosyra* is superior for *F. caudatus* than for *F. arisanus*. These results support our hypothesis that the two *Fopius* species have complementary capacities for competition and we demonstrate the first results of interaction between these two endoparasitoids.

Competitive superiority of early acting parasitoids species in fruit flies (Wang and Messing, 2003) is one of the reasons that the egg parasitoid *F. arisanus* has had a superior establishment record than other braconids that attack various larval instars of fruit flies and why it is widespread for use in biological control programs. The strategy to parasitize eggs is rare among fruit fly parasitoids. The knowledge about the range of fruits parasitized by the two parasitoids in Africa is scarce, yet it is known that both wasps parasitize fruit fly eggs in mango, which is infested by both *C. cosyra* and *B. dorsalis*. *Fopius caudatus* parasitism in mango in Benin was almost 10% (Vayssières *et al.*, 2011) while early records

of recovery of *F. arisanus* in mango is less than 1% 1-3 years after release (Ndiaye *et al.*, 2015). Their choice of fruit fly host and their survival in them, together with habitat preferences, will further define the occurrence of interspecific competition, its outcome and possibilities for co-existence. Our study is the first comparison of the two closely related parasitoid species. Further studies and surveillance will show if the competition and niche differences will cause spatial displacement or host shifts as a response to competition avoidance.

References

- Aluja, M., Ovruski, S.M., Sivinski, J., Cordova-Garcia, G., Schliserman, P., Nunez-Campero, S.R. and Ordano, M. (2013) Inter-specific competition and competition-free space in the tephritid parasitoids *Utetes anastrephae* and *Doryctobracon areolatus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Opiinae). *Ecological Entomology*, 38, 485–496.
- Bokonon-Ganta, A.H., Ramadan, M.M., Wang, X. and Messing, R.H. (2005) Biological performance and potential of *Fopius ceratitivorus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), an egg-larval parasitoid of tephritid fruit flies newly imported to Hawaii. *Biological Control*, 33, 238–247.
- Gnanvossou, D., Hanna, R., Bokonon-Ganta, A.H., Ekesi, S. and Mohamed, S.A. (2016) Release, establishment and spread of the natural enemy *Fopius arisanus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for control of the invasive oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Benin, West Africa. In: Ekesi, S., Mohamed, S.A. and Meyer, M. De (eds.) *Fruit Fly Research and Development in Africa - Towards a Sustainable Management Strategy to Improve Horticulture*. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 575–600.
- Kibira, M., Affognon, H., Njehia, B., Muriithi, B., Mohamed, S. and Ekesi, S. (2015) Economic evaluation of integrated management of fruit fly in mango production in Embu County, Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 10, 343–353.
- Miranda, M., Sivinski, J., Rull, J., Cicero, L. and Aluja, M. (2015) Niche breadth and interspecific competition between *Doryctobracon crawfordi* and *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), native and introduced parasitoids of *Anastrepha* spp. fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biological Control*, 82, 86–95.
- Ndiaye, O., Ndiaye, S., Djiba, S., Ba, C.T., Vaughan, L., Rey, J.-Y. and Vayssières, J.-F. (2015) Preliminary surveys after release of the fruit fly parasitoid *Fopius arisanus* Sonan (Hymenoptera Braconidae) in mango production systems in Casamance (Senegal). *Fruits*, 70, 1–9.
- Rousse, P., Gourdon, F. and Quilici, S. (2006) Host specificity of the egg pupal parasitoid *Fopius arisanus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in La Réunion. *Biological Control*, 37, 284–290.
- Vayssières, J.F., Wharton, R., Adandonon, A. and Sinzogan, A. (2011) Preliminary inventory of parasitoids associated with fruit flies in mangoes, guavas, cashew pepper and wild fruit crops in Benin. *BioControl*, 56, 35–43.
- Wang, X.G. and Messing, R.H. (2003) Intra- and interspecific competition by *Fopius arisanus* and *Diachasmimorpha tryoni* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), parasitoids of tephritid fruit flies. *Biological Control*, 27, 251–259.
- Wang, X.G., Bokonon-Ganta, A.H., Ramadan, M.M. and Messing, R.H. (2004) Egg-larval opiine parasitoids (Hym., Braconidae) of tephritid fruit fly pests do not attack the flowerhead-feeder *Trupanea dubautiae* (Dipt., Tephritidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 128, 716–722.